

No. 10412

---

United States  
Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

---

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COM-  
PANY, a corporation,

Appellant,

vs.

L. L. BOOZE, FRANK L. VINCENT, an indi-  
vidual, FRANK L. VINCENT, doing business  
under the firm name and style of Vincent's  
Dairy,

Appellees.

---

Transcript of Record

---

Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States  
for the Northern District of California,  
Northern Division

FILED

SEP 14 1943

PAUL B. O'BRIEN







No. 10412

---

United States  
Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

---

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COM-  
PANY, a corporation,

Appellant,

vs.

L. L. BOOZE, FRANK L. VINCENT, an indi-  
vidual, FRANK L. VINCENT, doing business  
under the firm name and style of Vincent's  
Dairy,

Appellees.

---

Transcript of Record

---

Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States  
for the Northern District of California,  
Northern Division



## INDEX

---

[Clerk's Note: When deemed likely to be of an important nature, errors or doubtful matters appearing in the original certified record are printed literally in *italic*; and, likewise, cancelled matter appearing in the original certified record is printed and cancelled herein accordingly. When possible, an omission from the text is indicated by printing in *italic* the two words between which the omission seems to occur.]

	Page
Answer of Frank L. Vincent.....	12
Answer of L. L. Booze.....	<del>10</del> //
Appeal:	
Certificate of Clerk to Transcript of Record on .....	21
Designation of Documents on.....	20
Designation of Documents on Appeal, Supplemental .....	30
Notice of .....	18
Notice of, Supplemental.....	28
Points and Authorities upon Which Appellant Intends to Rely on .....	23
Certificate of Clerk to Transcript of Record on Appeal .....	21
Complaint .....	2
Designation of Documents on Appeal.....	20
Supplemental .....	30
Judgment of Dismissal (Supplemental Transcript) .....	27

Minutes of Court—Feb. 25, 1943—Granting Motions to Dismiss .....	19
Motion to Dismiss of Defendant Frank L. Vin- cent .....	14
Motion to Dismiss of Defendant L. L. Booze..	16
Names and Addresses of Attorneys .....	1
Notice of Appeal .....	18
Supplemental .....	28
Order Granting Motions to Dismiss.....	19
Points and Authorities Upon Which Appellant Intends to Rely (CCA) .....	23
Supplemental Transcript of Record.....	25
Designation of Documents on Appeal.....	30
Judgment of Dismissal.....	27
Notice of Appeal.....	28

## ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

Attorneys for Appellant:

BETTS AND GARRISON,

Title Insurance Bldg.,  
Los Angeles, Calif.

Attorneys for Appellees:

J. OSCAR GOLDSTEIN, Esq.

JAMES WM. MORGAN, Esq.

142 W. Second St.,  
Chico, Calif.

PETERS AND PETERS,

304 Broadway,  
Chico, Calif.

In The District Court of the United States, Northern District of California, Northern Division

No. 4526

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Corporation,

Appellant,

vs.

L. L. BOOZE, FRANK L. VINCENT, an individual, FRANK L. VINCENT, doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy,

Appellees.

### COMPLAINT

Comes now the plaintiff and for cause of action alleges:

#### I.

Plaintiff American General Insurance Company is a corporation duly organized under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Texas, and at all times herein mentioned was, and now is, a citizen of, and domiciled in, said State of Texas. Plaintiff is admitted to do business in the State of California by virtue of having complied with all of the laws, rules and regulations of said State of California for the admission of a foreign corporation.

#### II.

Plaintiff is unaware of the true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants Doe One, Doe Two, Doe

Three, Doe Four, Doe Five and Doe Six, and therefore sues the said defendants by such fictitious names, and leave of court will be asked to amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.

### III.

The defendants L. L. Booze, Frank L. Vincent, an individual, and Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, are citizens of, and domiciled in, the State of California.

### IV.

As a part of the insurance business which the plaintiff is authorized to conduct in the State of California is included that of issuing certain agreements known as "Insurance Policies", for the purpose of indemnifying persons named in said policies, and protecting them under the conditions set out in said policies, from legal liability arising in connection with the maintenance, operation and ownership and driving of motor vehicles. In that connection the plaintiff had issued its policy No. 131186 to the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, as the "named assured" for the policy [1\*] year beginning May 22, 1941, and ending May 22, 1942, which policy covered a 1940 Dodge one-ton canopy truck, Motor No. T96-5704, for use in connection with a commercial retail milk delivery business operated by the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, under the name and style of Vincent's Dairy; a photo-

---

\*Page numbering appearing at foot of page of original certified Transcript of Record.

static copy of said policy of insurance issued to defendant Frank L. Vincent, together with the amendatory endorsement thereto, is attached to this complaint and marked Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth within this paragraph.

## V.

On or about the 1st day of August, 1941, the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, was driving the 1940 Dodge truck described in the policy when said truck was involved in an accident with a Pontiac automobile driven by one Ray White, at the intersection of Nineteenth Street and Broadway Street in the City of Chico, County of Butte, State of California. At the time of said accident one Vernon Booze, a minor of the age of approximately fourteen years and the son of the defendant, L. L. Booze, was riding in a standing position on a step located at the back end of said 1940 Dodge truck which was owned and being driven by the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, at said time. As a result of said accident said Vernon Booze received injuries and died on or about August 3, 1941.

## VI.

Subsequent to the happening of said accident and on or about the 22nd day of July, 1942, there was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Butte, case No. 18996 entitled "L. L. Booze, plaintiff, versus Frank Vincent, an individual, Frank Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vin-

cent's Dairy, et al, defendants.'" The Frank Vincent referred to in said action is the Frank L. Vincent included as a defendant in this case, and a copy of the summons and complaint in said state court action has been served upon the defendant Frank L. Vincent, sued therein as Frank Vincent, an individual, and Frank [2] Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy.

It is alleged in said complaint that L. L. Booze was the natural father of Vernon Booze, deceased, who upon the date of his death was a minor of the age of fourteen years, and that said minor met his death as a result of the wilful misconduct of Frank Vincent. It is further alleged in said complaint that by reason of the manner in which said Frank Vincent drove, maintained and operated said truck, and by reason of the reckless disregard by Frank Vincent of the probable consequences and safety of the minor, Vernon Booze, who was riding on said truck at the request of and with the consent of Frank Vincent said minor sustained fatal injuries to his head which resulted in his death two or three days after August 1, 1941. It is further alleged in said complaint that L. L. Booze by reason of the conduct of Frank Vincent was deprived of the society, comfort, protection and support of his minor son, Vernon Booze, and it is alleged that L. L. Booze was compelled to incur indebtedness for funeral expenses in the sum of Three Hundred Forty Eight Dollars Sixty Eight Cents (\$348.68), doctor's bills in the sum of Seventy Five Dollars

(\$75.00) nurses' bills in the sum of Twenty Five Dollars and a bill for hospital services in the sum of Forty Nine Dollars Ninety Five Cents, (\$49.95). It is alleged that in addition to said special damages L. L. Booze by reason of the death of said Vernon Booze was generally damaged in the sum of Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00).

The indemnifying contract or insurance policy issued by plaintiff to the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, as above set out, was in a principal sum to cover injuries or accident to one person, and was in double that sum to cover one accident, and said sum for one person was in excess of the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3000.00) but was less than Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00). Plaintiff, therefore, alleges that the amount of the controversy between the various defendants and itself herein is in excess of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3000.00). [3]

## VII.

Plaintiff has notified defendant Frank L. Vincent that plaintiff will not assume responsibility either for the defense of any law suit brought against said Frank L. Vincent by anyone because of claims on behalf of said Vernon Booze or on behalf of the heirs of said Vernon Booze resulting from the above described accident, and plaintiff has refused to contribute anything to the settlement of said claims and has refused to assume the defense of the action above described filed in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Butte by L. L. Booze.

## VIII.

There is an actual controversy existing between plaintiff and defendants herein relative to the question of whether or not the policy of indemnification or insurance of the plaintiff did or does cover any claims made by L. L. Booze or any other party in connection with the injury and death of the minor, Vernon Booze, so as to establish responsibility against the plaintiff because of said contract of insurance. It is contended by the plaintiff that the deceased, Vernon Booze, was at the time of the accident above described acting as an employee or apprentice of the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, within the course and scope of his employment, and that as such employee, the sole remedy of the defendant, L. L. Booze, for the death of his son, Vernon Booze, is under the provisions of the Workmen's Compensation Act of the State of California. It is further contended by plaintiff that said policy of insurance does not cover any claims made or actions brought on account of the injury and death of said employee, Vernon Booze, and that there is no obligation under said policy to defend the action above described now pending in the state court, or to pay any judgment that might be rendered in said action. Plaintiff contends that the sole responsibility for the defense of any claims brought in connection with the death of Vernon Booze rests with Frank L. Vincent or with his workmen's compensation insurance carrier, if any. On the other hand, the defendants, [4] L. L. Booze and Frank L. Vincent, contend that the deceased, Vernon Booze,

was not in the employ of Frank L. Vincent at the time of the accident and claim that the plaintiff is liable and responsible on said insurance contract not only to Frank L. Vincent to defend him, in the above described state action, but also to L. L. Booze for any judgment, if any there be, entered in his favor and against Frank L. Vincent upon said judgment becoming final.

### IX.

Unless it is legally determined that the plaintiff is not obligated to defend the defendant, Frank L. Vincent, in the action brought against him by L. L. Booze, this plaintiff will be required to set up reserves as required by law, to cover and protect against the possibility of unsuccessful defense, and reserves for the preparation and defense of the case, including legal fees, investigation costs, witness fees and court costs a trial and in preparation for trial. The action of L. L. Booze in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Butte against the defendant Frank L. Vincent is limited to issues which will not determine the questions presented in this petition for declaratory relief. Plaintiff contends that it should not be obliged to defend said action or to expend large sums of money necessarily involved in such defense and also that it should not be required to wait until said action has been tried and a judgment entered before securing a legal determination of this plaintiff's liability, if any, to the parties to said cause in the state court. Unless the issues here

presented are legally determined at this time it will be necessary for this plaintiff to establish and maintain for a long period of time a cash reserve covering the claims made and the action filed and the expenditures with reference thereto which it must make for its own protection unless this legal determination is now made, and this plaintiff claims, therefore, that these expenses of maintaining said reserve and making said investigation and incurring further expenses in preparation of this legal defense by way of fees, etc., should not be imposed upon the plaintiff if plaintiff's policy does not cover claims connected with the death of said Vernon Booze. [5] It is, therefore, of great importance that the question presented should be decided at the earliest possible date.

## X.

All of the issues involved in the state action are in no way connected with the issues involved in this controversy respecting the rights of the plaintiff and the defendants herein concerning the policy of indemnification issued by the plaintiff as above described. A determination of the issues raised herein will establish the rights of the parties hereto and will relieve this plaintiff from the uncertainty which exists and will continue to exist while the issues of this petition are undetermined. The determination of the controversy presented by this petition will avoid a multiplicity of suits and will minimize the expense and costs to this plaintiff and will facilitate the determination of the liability, if any, of the plaintiff under its contract of insurance.



General  
Company

HOUSTON, TEXAS

Actual Cash Value," in lieu of amount of Insurance.

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY  
HOUSTON, TEXAS

9PTTET 2V

3. The insurance shall be across insurance over any other valid and subsisting insurance available to the insured under a policy applicable with respect to the automobile or motorcycles, against a loss covered by the insurance.

Eus S. Northam

10



100

[illegible][illegible]

...the ... of a ... to ... a ...

[illegible]

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2001; 286: 1001-1007.

the payment of the award, the award shall be paid to the party who has been found to be entitled to the award. The award shall be paid to the party who has been found to be entitled to the award. The award shall be paid to the party who has been found to be entitled to the award.

the 1990s, the number of people in the United States who are obese has increased by 100 percent. The number of people who are obese in the United States is now 100 million. The number of people who are obese in the United States is now 100 million. The number of people who are obese in the United States is now 100 million.

**PROFESSOR DR. J. H. VAN DER WOUDE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MEDICINE**  
 University Hospital Groningen  
 30-001 Groningen, The Netherlands  
 Tel. 030-3065411  
 Fax 030-3065411  
 E-mail j.h.vanderwoude@azg.umcg.nl

anyone who has ever had a good proof of his own imposture has been treated by his country as a distinguished citizen. One has been made a senator and another a general.

With only 300 days left (as of January 1, 2002) for which data is available, we estimate that 10% of the 100,000+ companies that are currently in the S&P 500 will be delisted by the end of 2002. This is a dramatic increase from the 1% delisting rate in 1999.

...all other things being equal, a change that is followed by being a factor within the time re-

**ATTEMPTING TO STOP IT** Except from Springfield, Mass., to the south of New York, the only states that have passed laws to make it more difficult for a woman to obtain an abortion are those in the South. When looking at the abortion laws in the South, it is evident that a female who wants an abortion is in a much more difficult position than a male who wants to get married.

© 1999 by American Cancer Society

[illegible]

To the extent of any payment to be made by the Company, MWI is obligated to allow the Board's right of recovery thereby and the interest

[illegible]

ing to experience is called. The Company's stock is the best of all investments in the world. The Company's stock is the best of all investments in the world.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for a judgment and declaration of the rights and obligations of the parties hereto arising out of the matters herein alleged by having the court determine that the plaintiff is under no obligation, liability or responsibility either to defend the action brought in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Butte, by L. L. Booze against Frank Vincent, an individual, and Frank Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, or to pay any judgment, if any there be, which may be entered in any event, in favor of said L. L. Booze and against said Frank Vincent, individually or otherwise. Plaintiff prays for such other and further relief as to the court may seem proper.

BETTS & GARRISON

By JOHN A. LOOMIS

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attached is Exhibit "A" (photostatic copy of policy.)

[Endorsed]: Filed Aug 27 1942 [6]

# Short Rate Cancellation Table

FOR THE TERM OF ONE YEAR

Percentage to be refunded on premium

1 day

2 days

3 days

4 days

5 days

6 days

7 days

8 days

9 days

10 days

11 days

12 days

13 days

14 days

15 days

16 days

17 days

18 days

19 days

20 days

21 days

22 days

23 days

24 days

25 days

26 days

27 days

28 days

29 days

30 days

31 days

32 days

33 days

34 days

35 days

36 days

37 days

38 days

39 days

40 days

41 days

42 days

43 days

44 days

45 days

46 days

47 days

48 days

49 days

50 days

51 days

52 days

53 days

54 days

55 days

56 days

57 days

58 days

59 days

60 days

61 days

62 days

63 days

64 days

65 days

66 days

67 days

68 days

69 days

70 days

71 days

72 days

73 days

74 days

75 days

76 days

77 days

78 days

79 days

80 days

81 days

82 days

83 days

84 days

85 days

86 days

87 days

88 days

89 days

90 days

91 days

92 days

93 days

94 days

95 days

96 days

97 days

98 days

99 days

100 days

101 days

102 days

103 days

104 days

105 days

106 days

107 days

108 days

109 days

110 days

111 days

112 days

113 days

114 days

115 days

116 days

117 days

118 days

119 days

120 days

121 days

122 days

123 days

124 days

125 days

126 days

127 days

128 days

129 days

130 days

131 days

132 days

133 days

134 days

135 days

136 days

137 days

138 days

139 days

140 days

141 days

142 days

143 days

144 days

145 days

146 days

147 days

148 days

149 days

150 days

151 days

152 days

153 days

154 days

155 days

156 days

157 days

158 days

159 days

160 days

161 days

162 days

163 days

164 days

165 days

166 days

167 days

168 days

169 days

170 days

171 days

172 days

173 days

174 days

175 days

176 days

177 days

178 days

179 days

180 days

181 days

182 days

183 days

184 days

185 days

186 days

187 days

188 days

189 days

190 days

191 days

192 days

193 days

194 days

195 days

196 days

197 days

198 days

199 days

200 days

201 days

202 days

203 days

204 days

205 days

206 days

207 days

208 days

209 days

210 days

211 days

212 days

213 days

214 days

215 days

216 days

217 days

218 days

219 days

220 days

221 days

222 days

223 days

224 days

225 days

226 days

227 days

228 days

229 days

230 days

231 days

232 days

233 days

234 days

235 days

236 days

237 days

238 days

239 days

240 days

241 days

242 days

243 days

244 days

245 days

246 days

247 days

248 days

249 days

250 days

251 days

252 days

253 days

254 days

255 days

256 days

257 days

258 days

259 days

260 days

261 days

262 days

263 days

264 days

265 days

266 days

267 days

268 days

269 days

270 days

271 days

272 days

273 days

274 days

275 days

276 days

277 days

278 days

279 days

280 days

281 days

282 days

283 days

284 days

285 days

286 days

287 days

288 days

289 days

290 days

291 days

292 days

293 days

294 days

295 days

296 days

297 days

298 days

299 days

300 days

301 days



[Title of Court and Cause.]

## ANSWER OF DEFENDANT L. L. BOOZE

Comes now defendant, L. L. Booze, and answering the complaint of plaintiff on file herein by way of answer, alleges, admits and denies as follows, to-wit:

### FIRST DEFENSE

The complaint fails to state a claim against defendant upon which relief can be granted.

### SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant admits Paragraphs I, II, III and IV of said complaint.

Defendant admits Paragraph V of said complaint.

Defendant admits Paragraph VI of said complaint, excepting that defendant denies the last paragraph thereof, and alleges that the amount of the controversy between the various defendants and plaintiff is not in excess of Three Thousand and no/100 (\$3000.00) Dollars in that the amount of damages cannot be ascertained at this time.

Defendant denies Paragraph VII.

Answering Paragraph VIII, defendant alleges that the matters therein referred to are matters to be decided in the action in the complaint alleged to be now pending before the Superior Court of the County of Butte, State of California, and being Butte County No. 18996, and specifically referred to in Paragraph VI of said complaint, and that in said action last mentioned all of the issues involved herein as to the liability of the plaintiff will be determined, and there is another action involving

the issues involved herein, the Butte County action last referred to.

Answering Paragraph IX and X, defendant, L. L. Booze, incorporates by reference the answer made to Paragraph VIII above. [7]

Wherefore, answering defendant, L. L. Booze, prays as follows, to-wit:

1. That the above action be dismissed.

2. That plaintiff take nothing by its said action, but that the answering defendant, L. L. Booze, have judgment for his costs incurred herein.

J. OSCAR GOLDSTEIN and  
JAMES WM. MORGAN

Attorneys for defendant, L.  
L. Booze, 142 W. Second  
St., Chico, California

[Endorsed]: Filed Sep 18 1942. [8]

---

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS FRANK L. VINCENT, AN INDIVIDUAL, AND FRANK L. VINCENT DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF VINCENT'S DAIRY

Come now the defendants Frank L. Vincent, an individual, and Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, and answering the complaint of plaintiff, for cause of answer allege, admit and deny as follows, to-wit:

FIRST DEFENSE

The complaint fails to state a claim against defendants upon which relief can be granted.

## SECOND DEFENSE

Defendants admit paragraphs I, II, III and IV, of said complaint.

Defendants admit paragraph V of said complaint except they deny that the said Vernon Booze, a minor, referred to therein was riding in a standing position on a step located at the back end of the 1940 Dodge truck referred to therein.

Defendants admit paragraph VI, excepting that they deny the last paragraph thereof, and allege that the amount of the controversy between the various defendants and plaintiff is not in excess of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3000.00).

Defendants deny paragraph VII.

Answering paragraph VIII, the defendants allege that the matters therein referred to are matters to be decided in the action in the complaint alleged to be now pending before the Superior Court of the County of Butte, State of California, and being Butte [9] County No. 18996, and specifically referred to in paragraph VI of said complaint, and that in said action last mentioned all of the issues involved herein as to the liability of the plaintiff will be determined, and there is another action involving the issues involved herein, the Butte County action last referred to.

Wherefore, answering defendants pray as follows, to-wit:

1. That the above action be dismissed.
2. That plaintiff take nothing by its said action,

but that answering defendants have judgment for their costs incurred herein.

PETERS AND PETERS

Attorneys for Answering Defendants Frank L.

Vincent, an individual, and Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy 304 Broadway, Chico, California.

[Endorsed]: Filed Sep 16 1942. [10]

---

[Title of Court and Cause.]

MOTION TO DISMISS AND NOTICE OF  
MOTION

The defendants move the Court as follows:

1. To dismiss the action because the complaint fails to state a claim against said defendants upon which relief can be granted.

2. To dismiss the action because the Court has no jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action inasmuch as the complaint on file herein to which is attached the policy of insurance issued by plaintiff to the defendant Frank L. Vincent discloses that it is merely a policy to pay any judgment that may be rendered against Frank L. Vincent and/or Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, for personal injury caused by the operation of the 1940 Dodge one-ton canopy truck therein mentioned, and that no judgment has been rendered, and *therefor* no controversy can

be had thereover until such judgment be rendered, and *therefor* the controversy in question does not exceed the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3000.00), and the Court is without the jurisdiction to entertain the same.

3. To dismiss the action upon the ground that there is another action pending involving the same issues involved in the present action, namely the action of "L. L. Booze, plaintiff, versus Frank Vincent, an individual, Frank Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, et al, defendants", which said action is pending in the Superior Court of the County of Butte, State of California, and is case No. 18996, all of which is [11] disclosed in the complaint of plaintiff on file herein, to which said complaint reference is hereby made, and which is incorporated herein, and made a part hereof.

#### PETERS AND PETERS

Attorneys for Answering Defendants Frank L. Vincent, an individual, and Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, 304 Broadway, Chico, California.

To Betts and Garrison

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Please take notice that the undersigned will bring the above motion on for hearing before this Court in the Courtroom thereof in the Federal Building on "I" Street between Fifth Street and Sixth Street, in the City of Sacramento, County of Sac-

ramento, State of California, on Monday, the 19th day of October, 1942, at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard.

PETERS AND PETERS

Attorneys for defendants Frank L. Vincent, an individual, and Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, 304 Broadway, Chico, California.

(Attached is Affidavit of Service by Mail)

[Endorsed]: Filed Sep 16 1942. [12]

---

[Title of Court and Cause.]

MOTION TO DISMISS AND NOTICE OF  
MOTION

The defendant, L. L. Booze, move the Court as follows:

1. To dismiss the action because the complaint fails to state a claim against said defendants upon which relief can be granted.

2. To dismiss the action because the Court has no jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action inasmuch as the complaint on file herein to which is attached the policy of insurance issued by plaintiff to the defendant Frank L. Vincent discloses that it is merely a policy to pay any judgment that may be rendered against Frank L. Vincent and/or Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, for personal

injury caused by the operation of the 1940 Dodge one-ton canopy truck therein mentioned, and that no judgment has been rendered, and there is no controversy can be had thereover until such judgment be rendered, and therefor the controversy in question does not exceed the sum of Three Thousand and no/100 (\$3000.00) Dollars, and the Court is without the jurisdiction to entertain the same.

3. To dismiss the action upon the ground that there is another action pending involving the same issues involved in the present action, namely the action of "L. L. Booze, plaintiff, versus Frank L. Vincent, an individual, Frank L. Vincent doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, et al, defendants", which said action is pending in the Superior Court of the County of Butte, State of California, and is case No. 18996, all of which is disclosed in the complaint of plaintiff on file herein, to which said complaint [13] reference is hereby made, and which is incorporated herein, and made a part hereof.

**J. OSCAR GOLDSTEIN and  
JAMES WM. MORGAN**

Attorneys for L. L. Booze, de-  
fendant, 142 W. Second St.,  
Chico, California.

To: Betts and Garrison

Attorneys for Plaintiff:

Please take notice that the undersigned will bring the above motion on for hearing before this Court in the Courtroom thereof in the Federal Building

on "I" Street between Fifth Street and Sixth Street, in the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California, on Monday the 19th day of October, 1942 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard.

J. OSCAR GOLDSTEIN and  
JAMES WM. MORGAN

Attorneys for L. L. Booze, de-  
fendant, 142 W. Second  
Street, Chico, Calif.

(Attached is Affidavit of Service by Mail)

[Endorsed]: Filed Sep 18 1942 [14]

---

[Title of Court and Cause.]

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

To the Above Entitled Court: To the Defendant L. L. Booze and J. Oscar Goldstein and James Wm. Morgan, His Attorneys: To the Defendant Frank L. Vincent, an Individual, and Frank L. Vincent, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Vincent's Dairy, and to Messrs. Peters and Peters, His Attorneys:

Notice Is Hereby Given that American General Insurance Company, plaintiff above named, hereby appeals to The Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, from the Order of Court dismissing

the Complaint, and from the final Judgment entered on said Order on the 25th day of February, 1943.

Dated: April 2, 1943

BETTS & GARRISON

By FORREST A. BETTS

Attorneys for Appellant American General Insurance Company, a corporation

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr 5 1943. [15]

---

At a stated term of the Northern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, held at the Court Room thereof, in the City of Sacramento, on Thursday the 25th day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and 43.

Present: The Honorable Martin I. Welsh, District Judge.

No. 4526

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

L. L. BOOZE, FRANK L. VINCENT, etc.,

Defendants

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO DISMISS

The motions of defendants L. L. Booze and Frank L. Vincent having been heretofore heard and sub-

mitted, being now fully considered, it is Ordered that the motions of defendants L. L. Booze and Frank L. Vincent be and the same are hereby granted. [16]

---

[Title of District Court and Cause.]

#### DESIGNATION OF DOCUMENTS ON APPEAL

Comes Now the plaintiff in the above-entitled action, and by way of designation of documents to be prepared on appeal, in accordance with Rule 75 of the New Federal Procedure, does hereby designate the following:

- (1) The complaint;
- (2) Answer of defendant L. L. Booze;
- (3) Answer of Frank L. Vincent, individually, and Frank L. Vincent, doing business under the firm style and name of Vincent's Dairy;
- (4) Motion to Dismiss and Notice of Motion filed by and on behalf of the defendant Frank L. Vincent, [17] individually and doing business under the firm style and name of Vincent's Dairy;
- (5) Motion to Dismiss and Notice of Motion filed by and on behalf of the defendant L. L. Booze;
- (6) The Opinion or ruling of the Court determining that the Motions to Dismiss should be granted;
- (7) Order or Judgment of the Court on the 25th day of February, 1943, granting the Motions to Dismiss and Dismissing the Complaint herein;
- (8) Notice of Appeal;

(9) Designation of Documents on appeal.

Dated this 2nd day of April, 1943.

BETTS & GARRISON

By FORREST A. BETTS

Attorneys for Appellant, American General Insurance Company, a Corporation

[Endorsed]: Filed April 5, 1943 [18]

---

CERTIFICATE OF CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT  
COURT TO TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

I, Walter B. Maling, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing 18 pages, numbered from 1 to 18, inclusive, contain a full, true and correct transcript of certain records and proceedings in the case of American General Insurance Company, a corporation, vs. L. L. Booze, Frank L. Vincent, et al., No. 4526, as the same now remain on file and of record in this office; said transcript having been prepared pursuant to and in accordance with the Designation of Documents on Appeal, copy of which is embodied herein.

I further certify that the cost of preparing and certifying the foregoing record on appeal is the sum of Seven and 10/100 (\$7.10) Dollars, and that the same has been paid to me by the attorney for the appellant herein.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of said District Court, this 23rd day of April, A.D. 1943.

[Seal]                      WALTER B. MALING,

Clerk

By T. M. LAMPERT

Deputy Clerk.

---

[Endorsed]: No. 10412. United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. American General Insurance Company, a corporation, Appellant, vs. L. L. Booze, Frank L. Vincent, an individual, Frank L. Vincent, doing business under the firm name and style of Vincent's Dairy, Appellees. Transcript of Record. Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, Northern Division.

Filed April 26, 1943.

PAUL P. O'BRIEN

Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit.

United States Circuit Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit

No. 10412

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE  
COMPANY, Appellant,  
vs.

L. L. BOOZE, et al Respondents.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES UPON WHICH  
APPELLANT INTENDS TO RELY

That the Court committed error in its Ruling granting Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, in that, under the facts stated in the Complaint, this is a proper cause for *a*judication in the Federal Court under the Federal Declaratory Relief Act— and that the complaint contains all of the necessary elements to bring the cause within the requirements of the law.

Dated this 1st day of May, 1943.

BETTS & GARRISON,  
By FORREST A. BETTS,  
Attorneys for Appellant.

State of California,  
County of Los Angeles—ss:

Bernice Barie, being first duly sworn, says:

That affiant is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Los Angeles County; that affiant is over the age of 18 years and is not a parto to the within action; that her business address is 419 Title

Insurance Building, Los Angeles, California; that on the 1st day of May, 1943, she served the within Points and Authorities on the Respondents in said action by placing a true copy in an envelope addressed to the respective attorneys of record for said respondents, at the office address of said attorneys, as follows: "Messrs. Oscar Goldstein and James Wm. Morgan, 142 West 2nd Street, Chico, California, and Messrs. Peters & Peters, 304 Broadway, Chico, California, and by then sealing said envelope and depositing the same, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U. S. Post Office at Los Angeles, California, where is located the office of the attorneys for the person by and for whom said service was made.

That there is a delivery service by U. S. Mail at the place so addressed.

BERNICE BARIE,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of May, 1943.

[Seal]

M. MOORE,

Notary Public in and for said  
County and State.

[Endorsed]: Filed May 3, 1943.

No. 10412

---

United States  
Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

---

AMERICAN GENERAL INSURANCE COM-  
PANY, a corporation,

Appellant,

vs.

L. L. BOOZE, FRANK L. VINCENT, an indivi-  
dual, FRANK L. VINCENT, doing business  
under the firm name and style of Vincent's  
Dairy,

Appellees.

---

SUPPLEMENTAL  
Transcript of Record

---

Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States  
for the Northern District of California,  
Northern Division.

I hereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest:

[Seal]

C. W. CALBREATH,

Clerk, District Court of the  
U. S. Northern District of  
California.

By F. M. LAMPERT,  
Deputy Clerk.

[Endorsed]: Filed Jun 8, 1943.

---

[Title of District Court and Cause.]

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

To the Above Entitled Court: To the Defendant L. L. Booze and J. Oscar Goldstein and James Wm. Morgan, His Attorneys: to the Defendant Frank L. Vincent, an Individual, and Frank L. Vincent, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Vincent's Dairy, and to Messrs. Peters and Peters, His Attorneys:

Notice Is Hereby Given that American General Insurance Company, plaintiff above named, hereby appeals to The Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, from the Judgment of Dismissal en-

tered in the above entitled matter on the 8th day of June, 1943.

Dated this 31st day of July, 1943.

BETTS & GARRISON,  
By FORREST A. BETTS,  
Attorneys for Appellant  
American General Insurance Company, a Corporation.

I hereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest:

[Seal]

C. W. CALBREATH,  
Clerk, District Court of the  
U. S. Northern District of  
California.

By F. M. LAMPERT,  
Deputy Clerk.

[Endorsed]: Filed Aug 2, 1943.

[Title of District Court and Cause.]

DESIGNATION OF DOCUMENTS ON APPEAL

Comes Now the plaintiff in the above-entitled action, and by way of designation of documents to be prepared on appeal, in accordance with Rule 75 of the New Federal Procedure, does hereby designate the following:

(1) Judgment of Dismissal in the above-entitled action, entered on June 8, 1943.

Dated this 31st day of July, 1943.

BETTS & GARRISON,  
By FORREST A. BETTS,  
Attorneys for Appellant,  
American General Insurance Company, a Corporation.

I hereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest:

[Seal]

C. W. CALBREATH,  
Clerk, District Court of the  
U. S. Northern District of  
California.

By F. M. LAMPERT,  
Deputy Clerk.

[Endorsed]: Filed Aug. 2, 1943.

[Endorsed]: No. 10412. United States Circuit Court of Appeals, for the Ninth Circuit. American General Insurance Company, Appellant, vs. L. L. Booze, Frank L. Vincent, an individual and Frank L. Vincent, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Vincent's Dairy, Appellees. Supplemental Transcript of Record. Upon Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, Northern Division.

Filed: August 24, 1943.

PAUL P. O'BRIEN,

Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit.

